# **Transportation Impact Fee Alternative**

Projects are categorized according to priority in **Table 8.3.** 

### Table 8.3: Transportation Project List

Ducio et N-	Decide 4 Title	Total Project	Less Alternative	Net Project	Allocation to New	Cost Allocated to New		
Project No.	Project Title	Cost	Funding	Cost	Development	Development	Priority	
Streets and I	<u>Bridges</u>							
R-01	Oak Valley Pkwy Expansion I10-Desert Lawn Phase 2	\$ 600,000	\$-	\$ 600,000	54.4%	\$ 326,400		
R-12	2nd Street Extension Construction	4,800,000	-	4,800,000	54.4%	2,611,200	Priority	
2017-027	Oak Valley/I-10 Interchange Design	85,000,000	11,660,000	73,340,000	54.4%	39,896,960	Priority	
2017-001	Pennsylvania Interchange	85,000,000	-	85,000,000	54.4%	46,240,000	Priority	
R-37	Beaumont Avenue/ I-10 Interchange Project	125,000,000	5,869,000	119,131,000	54.4%	64,807,264		
	Highland Springs (Beaumont Share)	60,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	54.4%	16,320,000	Priority	
R-02	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement Phase 1	150,000	-	150,000	54.4%	81,600		
R-11	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement Phase 2	150,000	-	150,000	54.4%	81,600		
R-13	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement Phase 3	274,400	-	274,400	54.4%	149,274		
R25-03	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement FY25	150,000	-	150,000	54.4%	81,600		
R26-03	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement FY26	150,000	-	150,000	54.4%	81,600		
R27-03	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement FY27	150,000	-	150,000	54.4%	81,600		
R28-03	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement FY28	150,000	-	150,000	54.4%	81,600		
R-34	Citywide Traffic Signal Upgrade & Capacity Improvement FY24	150,000	-	150,000	54.4%	81,600		
	Traffic Signal Installation at Future Location	600,000	-	600,000	54.4%	326,400		
	Traffic Signal Installation at Future Location	600,000	-	600,000	54.4%	326,400		
	Traffic Signal Installation at Future Location	600,000	-	600,000	54.4%	326,400		
	Citywide Traffic Signal System Upgrade	2,000,000		2,000,000	54.4%	1,088,000		
Subtotal		\$365,524,400	\$ 47,529,000	\$ 317,995,400		\$ 172,989,498		
<u>Railroad</u>								
2017-012	Pennsylvania Ave/UPR Grade Separation	\$ 73,700,000	\$ 8,678,556	\$ 65,021,444	54.4%	\$ 35,371,666	Priority	
	California URP Grade Separation <sup>1</sup>	100,000,000	70,000,000	30,000,000	54.4%	16,320,000	Priority	
Subtotal		\$ 173,700,000	\$ 78,678,556	\$ 95,021,444		\$ 51,691,666	,	

<sup>1</sup>Assumes 70% of this project will be funded with grants.

Source: City of Beaumont Master CIP; Table 8.2, Willdan Financial Services.

The table below shows the alternative funding required to fully fund the identified projects within each category.

188,335,681 \$

273,261,235

141,859,617

131,401,618

#### **Additional Funding Required** All Projects Priority Projects Project Cost<sup>1</sup> \$ 396,744,869 \$ Projected Fee Revenue 208,409,189

\_ \$

<sup>1</sup> Net of identified alternative funding and existing fund balances.

Additional Funding Required

## Alternative: Impact Fee Funding Only Priority Projects

**Table 8.4** calculates the cost per trip for only the priority projects.

	Roa	d and		
	Br	idge	Railr	oad Crossings
Costs Allocated to New Development	\$ 105,068,160		\$	51,691,666
Les Existing Fund Balance	12,	090,363		2,809,846
Net Costs Allocated to New Development	\$ 92,	977,797	\$	48,881,819
Growth in Trip Demand (2023 to Buildout)		27,907		27,907
Cost per Trip	\$	3,332	\$	1,752

Table 8.5 calculates the fee schedule if the impact fee only funds the priority projects.

	A				$C = A \times B$			E = C + D	E/ 1,000	
			Trip						Fee	
	Cost Per		Demand			Admin			per Sq.	
Land Use		rip	Factor	Base Fee <sup>1</sup>		Charge <sup>1, 2</sup>		Total Fee <sup>1</sup>	Ft.	
Road and Bridge										
<u>Residential Dwelling Unit</u>	\$ 3	3,332	0.79	\$	2,632	\$ 2	6	\$ 2,658	\$	0.99
<u>Nonresidential - per 1,000 Sq. Ft.</u>										
Commercial	\$ 3	3,332	2.70	\$	8,996	\$ 9	0	\$ 9,086	\$	9.09
Industrial/Business Park		3,332	1.22		4,065	4	1	4,106		4.11
Industrial/High-Cube Warehouse		3,332	0.32		1,066	1	1	1,077		1.08
Railroad Crossings										
<u>Residential Dwelling Unit</u>	\$	1,752	0.79	\$	1,384	\$1	4	\$ 1,398	\$	0.52
<u>Nonresidential - per 1,000 Sq. Ft.</u>										
Commercial	\$	1,752	2.70	\$	4,730	\$ 4	7	\$ 4,777	\$	4.78
Industrial/Business Park		1,752	1.22		2,137	2	1	2,158		2.16
Industrial/High-Cube Warehouse		1,752	0.32		561		6	567		0.57

## Table 8.5: Maximum Justified Transportation Impact Fee Schedule - Priority Projects

<sup>1</sup> Fee per average sized dw elling unit or per 1,000 square feet of nonresidential.

<sup>2</sup> Administrative charge of 2.0 percent for (1) legal, accounting, and other administrative support and (2) impact fee program administrative costs including revenue collection, revenue and cost accounting, mandated public reporting, and fee justification analyses.

<sup>3</sup> Assumes an average of 2,687 square feet per dw elling unit based on an analysis of building permits issued in Beaumont from 2018 to 2023.

<sup>4</sup> Average trip demand factor per residential dw elling unit w eighted by projected single family and multifamily development.

Sources: Tables 8.1 and 8.4.